

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2019.08.01 11:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-7187-2014

Date of Decision: July 24, 2019

Manju bala

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

On a query posed by the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, during the pendency of the writ petition, has been gainfully employed but he does not have any instructions as to what employment she has been given by the State.

A perusal of the prayer in the writ petition reflects that the petitioner, at the time of filing of the writ petition, had sought quashing of appointment of respondent No.5 as Counselor, who was appointed on contractual basis.

Given the nature of relief sought and also with sheer efflux of time and coupled with the fact that the petitioner has already been gainfully employed, the writ petition is rendered infructuous. The same is, accordingly, disposed of.

July 24, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No