

(107) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24558 of 2018

Date of decision: 19.11.2019

Satyapal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Naresh K. Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned Sr. DAG requests for time to file reply.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner who is 72% handicapped has challenged his transfer from Panipat to Sonipat and despite having made representation, Annexure P-3 dated 18.07.2018, no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-3 dated 18.07.2018 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

[2] The innocuous nature of prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned Sr. DAG.

[3] In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-3 dated 18.07.2018 in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

19.11.2019
Amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge