

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33355 of 2019

Date of Decision: 20.09.2019

Subhash Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No.1096 dated 06.09.2018, under
Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station
City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 19.08.2019 while issuing notice of motion the
following order was passed by this Court:

“Notice of motion for 20.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join
investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the
event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit
him to interim bail till the next date of hearing in the
present case on his furnishing adequate bail and surety
bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed
to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section
438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that
in terms of the above order, petitioner has already joined
investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ram

Kumar has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 19.08.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 20, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no