

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24536 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.07.2019**

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Concededly, petitioner was out of job. He was ordered to be reinstated vide award dated 16.11.2011 passed by Labour Court without granting benefit of continuity of service.

Case of petitioner in present case is for regularization w.e.f. 1990 as per 2003 Policy.

Learned State counsel submitted that Policy of 2003 is not applicable to case of petitioner, as only such daily wages employees, who completed three years service on Group D post on 30.09.2003 were entitled for regularization. Once petitioner was not in job at that relevant point of time, he could not be granted benefit of regularization.

Needless to say that petitioner's case may be covered under 2014 Policy, which is pending adjudication before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

At this stage, petitioner is not entitled for relief sought in the instant writ petition. No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 10, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No