

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33353 of 2019

Date of Decision: 20.09.2019

Harpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No.106 dated 09.11.2018, under
Sections 323, 324, 452, 326, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal
Code registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

On 19.08.2019 while issuing notice of motion the
following order was passed by this Court:

“ Contends that only simple injury has been attributed to
the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 20.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join
investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the
event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit
him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of
hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds
to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide
by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)
Cr.P.C.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that
in terms of the above order, petitioner has already joined

investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ram Kumar has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 19.08.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 20, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no