

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33347 of 2019
Date of Decision: 06.09.2019

Sonu Garg

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No. 72 dated 15.06.2019, under Section 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 468 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat, District Panipat.

On 21.08.2019, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to apologise before the complainant, but that may not be construed as prejudicial to his interest.

Submission seems to be justified and accepted.

Adjourned to 06.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, **till the next date of hearing**, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all

the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in terms of the above order, petitioner has already joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwan Singh has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 21.08.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 06, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no