

**CRM-M-33289-2019 and
CRM-M-33514-2019**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:26.08.2019

1. CRM-M-33289-2019

Rahul

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-33514-2019

Pardeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-33289-2019.

Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-33514-2019.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-33289-2019, filed by

**CRM-M-33289-2019 and
CRM-M-33514-2019**

petitioner-Rahul and CRM-M-33514-2019, filed by petitioner-Pardeep, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in a case FIR No.274 dated 18.09.2018, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 307, 506, 216, 120-B and 379-B of the IPC and Section 326 of the IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that petitioner-Rahul is stated to be armed with iron pipe and simple injury has been attributed to him. So far as petitioner-Pardeep is concerned, grievous injury under Section 326 of the IPC has been attributed to him. In the present case, no injury has been declared dangerous to life by the doctor.

Petitioners-Rahul and Pardeep have been in custody since 26.09.2018 and 30.09.2018, respectively. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without

**CRM-M-33289-2019 and
CRM-M-33514-2019**

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

26.08.2019
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No