

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24363 of 2018
Date of decision :19.03.2019

Barkha Ram

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has participated for the post of TGT Science under the BCB category. The roll No. of the petitioner is reflected at page 32 of the paper book and he had been called for scrutiny of documents on 23.09.2018 as per notice dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-4). The last candidate called for interview under the BCB category has secured 108 marks whereas the petitioner as per his own calculation has secured 102 marks, still he was not called for interview. Vide order dated 25.09.2018, he was provisionally interviewed. The final result has been declared on 17.10.2018. The last selected candidate under BCB category (Male) has secured 129 marks. The result of the petitioner has been produced in Court today and he has secured 104 marks in written examination, 16 marks in viva voce and 18 marks in experience. Total marks are 138 which are higher than the last selected candidate under the BCB category (Male). Since the marks of experience were not added at the time of calling the candidates for interview, he was not called for interview. Result has been returned to learned counsel for the State.

This writ petition is being allowed and the respondents are
directed to recommend the case of the petitioner to Haryana Staff Selection

Commission within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and thereafter Haryana Staff Selection Commission will issue appointment letter within the next 15 days after verifying the documents of the petitioner.

19.03.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No