

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23334 of 2019

Date of Decision:29.08.2019

Bharti Angra

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for directing the official respondents to provide security/deploy security guards to ensure his safety as also safety of his family members.

The averments made in the petition with regard to the threat perception are utterly vague. The same cannot be construed to be sufficient to entertain the prayer made in the instant petition.

Even otherwise there is a report placed on record at Annexure P-8 from the Superintendent of Police (Investigation) SBS Nagar and addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police SBS Nagar, whereupon the claim of the petitioner has been examined and even the threat perception has been gone into. As per such report the frequency of patrolling of PCR party on motorcycle, near the area of the house of the petitioner has been increased. A view has been taken after looking into the threat perception that the petitioner does not need any gunman attached to him.

There would be no occasion for this Court to take a different

view in the matter.

No interference is called for.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

August 29, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No