

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34363-2019

Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Sonia Pawar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajitpal Singh Sabharwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, A.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State reiterates what is stated in the FIR, to the effect that money was siphoned into three accounts, one of them being that of the petitioner, and two other fictitious accounts that are stated to have been opened by her.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner reiterates what he had stated on the last date of hearing, to the effect that the petitioner is “only a Class XII pass data entry operator”, the fact being that money was transferred to her account also, I see no ground to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed.

However, nothing stated hereinabove will be taken to be an observation on the merits of the case for or against the petitioner, which naturally would stand to further investigation, evidence and trial, (if it comes to that stage).

Naturally, if any other person is found to be involved in the aforesaid transfer of accounts, because counsel for the petitioner submits that one person alone could not have done it, the SSP, SAS Nagar, would ensure that a proper investigation in that regard is also carried out.

September 11, 2019

nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.