

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21805 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision.20.08.2019

Bhoora Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Grievance of petitioner is that vide order dated 23.01.2003, he has been regularized in service w.e.f. 23.01.2003 whereas his juniors were regularized w.e.f. 29.12.2001 under same policy and in this regard, submitted legal notice dated 16.03.2019 (Annexure P-4) to respondents No.2 and 3 but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On asking of Court, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice for official respondents. Counsel for petitioner shall supply requisite number of copies of complete paper book to counsel appearing for official respondents during course of day, if not supplied already.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct 2nd respondent-Commissioner-cum-Director General, School Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to consider the legal notice dated 16.03.2019 (Annexure P-4)

within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No