

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21952 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.17.10.2019

Ramesh Kumar

...Petitioners

Vs

Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Hisar and
others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Himanshu Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate
for the respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner was noticed while issuing notice of
motion vide order dated 21.08.2019, which reads as under:-

“The only grievance expressed by petitioner is that after having cleared written examination for post of Clerk in pursuance of advertisement, he was declared meritorious under category of Persons with Disability. However, vide letter dated 24.07.2019 (Annexure P-10), Registrar, LUVAS, Hisar called upon petitioner to submit a documentary proof with regard to diploma/certificate course in Computer obtained by him, as eligibility condition, was to have certificate of State Eligibility Test in Computer Appreciation and Application from HARTRON or any other agency authorized by the Government or BCA/MCA/B.Tech/M.Tech in Computer from Universities and Colleges Diploma in Computers from Govt. Polytechnics/HKCL/HARTRON, DOECC or NIELIT etc. or Degrees in Computers or course in computers from reputed institution like HKCL, HARTRON or NIELIT or any other agency authorized by Govt.

Petitioner has undergone computer course from Computer Centre, Bal Bhawan, Narwana vide Roll No.439 and procured certificate dated 24.07.2019 (Annexure P-11) but result has been declared on 27.07.2019 without consideration of aforementioned certificate.

Notice of motion for 17.10.2019.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice for respondents. Counsel for respondents shall supply requisite number of copies of complete paper book to counsel appearing for respondents during course of day, if not supplied already.

Respondents are directed to bring result of petitioner and reasons for not bringing his roll number within merit list.”

In response to that counsel for the University has brought result of all the candidates. Petitioner was not found eligible as obtained 47.5 marks whereas respondents No.2 to 4 obtained 63, 58 and 48 marks respectively. Objection of eligibility, in such circumstances, cannot be taken as there is no column whereby petitioner can claim marks.

Resultantly, I do not find any merit in the writ petition and accordingly dismissed.

Result is returned to the counsel appearing for the University.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 17, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No