

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**(228)**

**CWP-2568-2017**

**Date of Decision: September 06, 2019**

**Shingara Singh & others**

**.. Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate, for  
Mr. Ankit Duggal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Garg, Advocate, for  
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)**

Reply on behalf of respondent No.4 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State states that no reply is needed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 as respondent No.4 is the contesting respondent.

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that their leave encashment has not been released despite the fact that the petitioners superannuated in the year 2014/2015.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 states that the benefits for which the petitioners were entitled for, have been released to them and the details of the same have been mentioned in paragraph 4 of the reply. The same is as under:-

*“4. That the total amounts of leave encashments of the petitioners amounting to Rs.3,24,160/-, Rs.2,95,000/- and Rs.2,88,800/- respectively had already been released to the*

*petitioner No.1 namely; Shingara Singh, petitioner No.2 namely; Bhajan Lal and petitioner No.3 namely; Nagar Singh respectively as per their service record by the respondent No.4.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that once the statement has been made by respondent No.4 in the reply, he has no doubt that the payments must have been made by the respondents to the petitioners but he has no instructions regarding the same, he cannot confirm the said averment. He prays that the petitioners be given liberty to approach the respondents, in case any payment, the details of which have been mentioned in the reply, have not been released to them so far, by making appropriate representation. He further states that the petitioners be also given liberty to raise their claim with the respondents in case, the petitioners are entitled for interest on these delayed payments.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 very fairly states that in case any representation is submitted by the petitioners claiming any amount or interest on any payment, the same will be decided within a period of three months by passing appropriate speaking order from the receipt of any such representation.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.4, learned counsel for the petitioners does not wish to press this writ petition any further.

The present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 06, 2019**  
*harsha*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No