

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 33393 of 2019
Date of decision: 04.10.2019.**

Sangeet Kumar @ Kallu

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 281 dated 26.12.2016, under Sections 307, 326, 427, 323, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No. 7, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that co-accused, namely, Anil Kumar has already been granted bail. He also contends that the petition for anticipatory bail preferred by the petitioner earlier had been dismissed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 08.10.2018, as the petitioner at that time was not aware that his name was in column No.2 of the final report ('challan').

Heard. It is manifest from the record that this is the third petition preferred by the petitioner for anticipatory bail. The first petition was dismissed as withdrawn on 09.11.2017. The second petition bearing CRM-M No. 29376 of 2018 was dismissed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this

Court on 08.10.2018. The allegations against the petitioner are that he is alleged to have caused an injury on the head of Mannu with a brick and also caused him 'lathi' blows. In view of the allegations against the petitioner and his earlier petitions having been dismissed, I do not find any good ground to entertain the third petition for anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

04.10.2019
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No