

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP-4484-2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: - 25.03.2019

Balwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Pb.

Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate  
for respondents No.5 and 6.

Mr. Naginder Singh Vashist, Advocate  
for respondent No.7.

\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

In the present writ petition, the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner is that respondents No.5 and 6-Society should be directed to pay the gratuity amount to him for which he is entitled after he retired from service on 30.11.2014 alongwith interest.

Counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 has raised a preliminary objection that the present writ petition is not maintainable against respondents No.5 and 6- Society as the petitioner has already a remedy available to him under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 for the redressal

of his grievance and therefore, the present writ petition is liable to be dismissed on this ground alone.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authorities under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 for the redressal of his grievance.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

**March 25, 2019**  
naresh.k

**( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes |
| Whether reportable?        | No  |