

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33179-2019
Date of Decision: August 27, 2019

Sukhwinder Singh @ Dulla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has remained in custody for the past 8 months, with the complainant having turned hostile upon his testimony being recorded by the trial Court.

Learned State counsel however submits that as a matter of fact even the mobile phone belonging to the complainant, as is alleged to have been snatched away by the petitioner at the time of the occurrence in question, was recovered from the petitioner and the Cyber Crime Cell found him to have been continuously using it since that date.

He further submits that there are 15 other criminal cases registered against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above, I see no reason to grant the petitioner the concession of bail. Consequently, the present petition is

dismissed. However, the observations made herein above would naturally be treated only in the context of the dismissal of the petition and the trial would continue to try the case obviously in terms of the evidence led before it.

August 27, 2019
vkd

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No