

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(214)

CWP-2560-2017

Date of Decision: October 04, 2019

Amir Chand

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Baghla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the service, which the petitioner had rendered from 13.10.1971 till 19.05.1977, has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.

The prayer of the petitioner is that petitioner is entitled for counting the service rendered in D.A.V. High School, Fazilka from 13.10.1971 to 19.05.1977 after which, petitioner was appointed in the Government service and ultimately retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.05.2006, as a qualifying service.

Upon notice of motion, no reply has been filed by the respondents so far.

Today, learned counsel for the respondents, on the instructions from Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, SLA, G.S.S.S. Dutaran Wali, District Fazilka, states that the benefit of counting the service from 13.10.1971 till 19.05.1977 as a qualifying service, has already been allowed by the District Education Officer (Secondary Education) Fazilka vide order dated

01.10.2019 and the consequential benefits of the said order will be granted to the petitioner within a period of three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel for the respondents that the decision to grant the benefit of the service rendered by the petitioner from 13.10.1971 till 19.05.1977 as a qualifying service has already been taken and consequential benefits will be released to the petitioner within a period of three months, petitioner does not wish to press this writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 04, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No