

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 44 of 2016
Date of Decision : 04.02.2019**

Asha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, A.A.G., Haryana
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that all the benefits as prayed in the present writ petition, have already been granted except the interest on account of delayed payment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner be given liberty to file a representation claiming interest on the delayed payments in view of the settled principle of law settled by a Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) SCT 468.***

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection for allowing the petitioner to file representation and assure that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner seeking interest, the same will be evaluated and decided keeping in view the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in ***A.S. Randhawa's case (supra).***

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case the petitioner files any representation within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be decided after passing a speaking order in view of the settled principle of law within a period of three months thereafter.

In case after the order is passed, the petitioner is found entitled for any monetary benefit, the same should also be released to her within a period of one month thereafter.

February 04, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes
Whether reportable? No