

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33139 of 2019

Date of decision: October 01, 2019

Neetu Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.147 dated 21.07.2019, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Mr. Manish Modi, Advocate appears, files *vakalatnama* on behalf of the complainant and the same is taken on record.

On 26.08.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Learned State counsel to file short affidavit along with relevant documents to show the complicity of the petitioner in the present case.

Adjourned to 01.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate

bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Nirmal, has stated that in terms of order dated 26.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 26.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 01, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No