

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2383 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.03.2019**

M/s Indane Cables

... Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and another ... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. G.S. Rana, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, learned Additional Advocate General, appearing for the State of Haryana, states that since supply of the material for which the tender was floated is over, the petition is rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the question of disqualification of the petitioner on certain grounds needs adjudication.

Since the petition itself is rendered infructuous by efflux of time, we are not inclined to enter into the merits of the issue of disqualification. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed, as having been rendered infructuous, leaving the question of disqualification of the petitioner for alleged non-compliance of one of the conditions of the tender agreement, open to be adjudicated upon in an appropriate case, at an appropriate stage.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

March 25, 2019

AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO