

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33169-2019
Date of decision-20.08.2019**

Dhanveer Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Satish Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Dhanveer Singh has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.144 dated 05.06.2019 under Sections 323, 324 and 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code and Section 326 IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural. The petitioner is in custody since his arrest on 10.07.2019.

The FIR was registered on the statement of Jugraj Singh son of Harpal Singh revealing the details of the occurrence which allegedly took place on 26.05.2019. As per his version, the petitioner and other co-accused caused injuries to him. The petitioner who was armed with datar had caused injury on the right arm of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered after a delay of ten days and the offence under Section 326 IPC

was added on 10.07.2019. He submits that rest of the offences are bailable in nature and the petitioner who is confined in judicial custody is not required for any investigation.

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by ASI Dilbag Masih and learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail application. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is getting threats from USA and therefore, the bail be not extended to the petitioner. However, it is not disputed by the counsel opposing the prayer that concession previously extended to the petitioner was not misused by him.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged threat to the complainant cannot be attributed to the petitioner as he is already confined in jail.

Considering the above background and the fact that the trial of the case is likely to consume more time, this Court does not find any valid reason to detain the petitioner any further in custody. Therefore, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, Amritsar.

The petition is allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.08.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No