

**C.M. NO.18477 CWP OF 2019 &
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.23681 OF 2018**

:{ 1 }:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

**C.M. NO.16181 & 18477 CWP OF 2019 AND
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.23681 OF 2018
DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 09, 2019**

Karam Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Naib Tehsildar-cum-Assistant Collector Ist Grade, Phillaur and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for the State.

Mr. Rajveer Singh Parmar, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in C.M. No.18477 CWP of 2019 is for withdrawal of the writ petition in the light of the compromise, which has been entered into between the parties.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 whereas Mr.Rajveer Singh Parmar, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3.

So far as respondent No.2 is concerned, since none had put in

appearance on his behalf, he has been proceeded against exparte.

C.M. No.16181 CWP of 2019 has been filed by respondent No.3 for setting-aside exparte order in which notice has been issued for 07.04.2020, when the main case was listed for hearing.

Counsel for non-applicant-respondent No.3 as also counsel for the State state that they have no objection to the prayer made by the applicant-petitioner.

At the oral request made by counsel for the parties, C.M. No.16181 CWP of 2019 as well as main writ petition are preponed and taken on board today for disposal.

For the reasons mentioned in C.M. No.18477 CWP of 2019, which is duly supported by an affidavit of the counsel himself, the same is allowed and exparte order dated 30.09.2019 (Annexure R3-1) is hereby set-aside. As a result thereof, C.M. No.16181 CWP of 2019 stands allowed.

Counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that there has been a compromise between the parties and, therefore, counsel for respondent No.3 as well as State have no objection to the prayer made for withdrawal of the writ petition.

Accordingly, the main writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn as prayed for.

**December 09, 2019
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No