

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25367-2017

Date of decision: 08.07.2019.

M/S AGGARWAL RICE MILLS AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners.

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhay Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J (ORAL).

Learned State counsel would submit that the prayer in the instant petition with regard to the allotment/storage of Paddy as per capacity of the petitioners Rice Mills as per Clause 14 (B) of the Custom Milling Policy was in relation to the kharif marketing Season 2017-2018 and which has already worked out.

Learned State counsel submits that alternate prayer, if any, would have to be raised before the Arbitrator. It is contended that the instant writ petition by way of efflux of time has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel representing the petitioners concedes that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

08.07.2019

Ali

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No