

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(108)

CWP-21427-2019

Date of Decision: November 05, 2019

Gajraj Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sonu Giri, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the interest, which has been granted to the petitioner vide impugned order dated 30.03.2018 (Annexure P-11), is not correctly calculated and therefore, the respondents needs to be directed to show as to how, the respondents have calculated the total amount of interest to be Rs.70,283/- on the delayed payments of the pensionary benefits.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in case, the petitioner files any representation seeking the details as to how the interest has been calculated, the same will be provided to the petitioner immediately.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will approach the respondents by making appropriate representation seeking the details as to how the amount of interest has been calculated on the delayed payments.

In case, any representation is filed by the petitioner, as undertaken by counsel for the respondents, the same be disposed of within a period of three months from the receipt of the same.

The present writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

It is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner as being raised in the present writ petition.

November 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No