

Sr. No. 239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3797 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2019**

Prem Lata

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate and
Mr. Akshay Bansal, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 and 4.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submits that pursuant to the recommendation of the Committee, which was constituted to look into the grievance of the petitioner and similarly situated employees, a decision has been taken to pursue the matter with Chandigarh Administration for counting her past service qua pensionary benefits. He, in this respect, further submits that decision is to be taken by U.T. Administration and the same would be implemented as and when conveyed to respondents No.3 and 4.

2. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for no fault of the petitioner, she is being made to run from pillar to post. It is the duty of her employer-respondent No.3 and 4 to get requisite sanction, in accordance with law.

3. He further submits that the red-tapism adopted by the respondents has compelled the petitioner to approach this Court and despite a lapse of more than 3 years, no progress has been made on the part of the respondents to take a decision.

4. In the premise, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-The Director Higher Education, U.T. Secretariat, Chandigarh to pass appropriate orders, as per the recommendations of the Committee constituted by respondents No.3 and 4, in accordance with law.

5. Let the needful be done within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

09.04.2019

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No