

101+263

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CR-5266-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 28, 2019

Darshan SinghPetitioner
Versus
Nirmal Singh and othersRespondents

2. CR-4697-2019 (O&M)
Tarsem SinghPetitioner
Versus
Nirmal Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Karan Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner in CR-5266-2019 and
for respondent No.2 in CR-4697-2019.

Mr.G.N.Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner in CR-4697-2019 and
for respondent No.2 in CR-5266-2019.

Mr.Mohd.Imran Khan, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in both the cases.
Mr.Bhupesh Dogra, Advocate
for respondent No.3 in both the cases.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The above-mentioned two civil revision petitions shall stand
disposed of by this common order in terms of the compromise.

Revision Petition No.5266-2019 came up for hearing before this
Court on an application filed by the applicant-petitioner bearing CM-24072-
CII-2019 for pre-ponement of the date of hearing in view of the
compromise. The said application is allowed by way of a separate order
and the matter is accordingly listed today itself for disposing of the same.

During pendency of the revision petitions, the matter has been

compromised with the intervention of the respected persons of the society and the relatives. The dispute between all the parties stand amicably settled. In pursuance to the amicable settlement, a compromise-deed dated 03.11.2019 was executed between the parties. The said compromise has been placed on record as Annexure A-1 alongwith CM-24072-CII-2019 and is signed by all the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners in both the civil revision petitions confirm the fact that the matter has been compromised and that Annexure A-1 is duly signed between the parties. The said compromise is supported by the affidavits of all the parties, Annexure A-2 (Colly-1 to Colly-4). Learned counsel representing the respondents in the respective revision petitions also admitted that the matter has been compromised vide Annexure A-1 and that they have instructions to state before this Court that they have no objection in case the revision petitions are disposed of in terms of the said compromise.

After perusing the compromise as well as the affidavits in support of the compromise and the statement made by learned counsel for the parties before this Court, there is no doubt that the said compromise is genuine.

In view of the above, the present revision petitions are disposed of in terms of the compromise. The said compromise be made part of the record and part of this order. Both the parties shall remain bound by the said compromise.

November 28, 2019
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
- 2. Whether reportable ?**

Yes/No
Yes/No