

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

239

Civil Writ Petition No.24742 of 2017
Date of Decision: December 4th, 2019

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a plea that the predecessor-in-interest of the petitioner Amar Nath had applied for transfer of ownership of the property in terms of Policy dated 13.11.1990 (Annexure P-2) issued by the Department of Rehabilitation. During the pendency of the said application, Amar Nath expired on 10.12.2004. The said application is still pending consideration before the competent authority i.e. Tehsildar (Mahal)-cum-Sales-cum-Managing Officer-cum-Rehabilitation Authority, Jalandhar-2-respondent No.3, which fact is admitted by the respondents in their reply in para 4 of the preliminary submissions.

2. In view of the above, counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the application dated 11.12.1990 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner, within some specified time.

3. In the light of the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into merits thereof or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Tehsildar (Mahal)-cum-Sales-cum-Managing Officer-cum-Rehabilitation Authority, Jalandhar-2-

respondent No.3, to consider and decide the application dated 11.12.1990 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner and make an endeavour to decide the same preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

December 4th, 2019
Puneet

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No