

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22690 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27.11.2019.

Rajinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that despite availability of 13 vacancies of Class-IV employees i.e. sweeper, peon and water carrier in head office, State Vigilance Bureau, Panchkula, services of the petitioners have been dispensed with vide order Annexure P/1 dated 26.01.2019. Learned counsel states that the petitioners have served legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 06.05.2019 for being continued in service etc. i.e. claim as is the subject matter of the writ petition but the legal notice has not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in the legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 06.05.2019, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P/11 dated 06.05.2019, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

27.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No