

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

Civil Writ Petition No.21372 of 2019
Date of Decision: September 18th, 2019

Satbir Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 01.07.2019 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Haryana-respondent No.1, order dated 30.03.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, and the order dated 12.08.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Collector, Panipat, District Panipat, whereby the petitioner asserts that he has been declared ineligible for appointment as Lambardar.

2. Perusal of the order passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana, would indicate that the observations made therein vis-a-vis the petitioner and respondent No.4-Sumer Chand are in their favour, however, it appears that the petitioner is apprehensive of the fact that more candidates would come in if a fresh proclamation is issued as per the order passed by the Collector, Panipat-respondent No.3. It may be pointed out here that initially, after considering the matter, the Collector wanted fresh proclamation to be issued vide order dated 12.08.2015 (Annexure P-2). Appeal preferred against the said order was dismissed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, by observing certain aspects with regard to the eligibility of the petitioner and respondent No.4, which aspects have been

further clarified by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana, in its order dated 01.07.2019 removing the disqualification, which would have accrued upon them because of the order passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

3. This Court is also satisfied that fresh proclamation is required to be issued in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case and the reasoning given for the said purpose is fully justified.

4. Finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

September 18th, 2019
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No