

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3501-2016

Date of decision: - 07.01.2019

Varinder Kumar Setia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Pb.
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the parties agreed that the payments in respect of the retiral benefits have already been released to the petitioner in October, 2015.

Counsel for respondent No.3 states that the petitioner has already given in writing that he was not interested in the grant of interest on the delayed payments.

The said fact is disputed/opposed by counsel for the petitioner stating that the petitioner was forced to sign on the dotted lines to the effect that he is not entitled for the interest.

Be that as it may, this Court cannot go into the disputed question of facts. If the petitioner is aggrieved against the non-grant of interest and is claiming that he was forced to sign the statement, he is free to pursue his other remedies available under the law.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and accordingly the same stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 07, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No