

CR No.5128 of 2019

Date of Decision: 28.8.2019

Vikram Saini

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajni Bala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 24.5.2019, vide which, maintenance has been allowed to the wife and the child to the extent of ₹ 15,000/- and ₹ 5,000/- per month respectively.

While praying for setting aside the said order on the ground that the said maintenance is on the higher side, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has to look after his mother and sister as well and that the respondent-wife and child in any case are staying in her matrimonial house. Admittedly, the petitioner is residing in Australia. Therefore, to say that he is not in a position to pay just because he is stated to be on his study visa does not help. The very fact that he is able to support his study in Australia shows that ₹ 20,000/- towards the maintenance of his wife and the child cannot be said to be on higher side or that he cannot afford the same.

Dismissed accordingly.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**28.8.2019**

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No