

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-33134-2019(O&M)

Date of Decision:20.08.2019

Rajesh @ Raju

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. D.D. Tuteja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.65 dated 02.07.2018 under Sections 120-B, 323, 376(2)(f), 380, 506 IPC, 1860 and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Women, Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned State Counsel submits that all the prosecution witnesses except Sandeep, brother of the victim, have been examined in the case.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and wishes to withdraw the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

On the next date of hearing i.e. 02.09.2019, the prosecution

shall make an endeavour to examine Sandeep, the remaining witness. In the

event of his non-examination, the petitioner would be at liberty to move fresh petition seeking regular bail before the trial Court.

August 20, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No