

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21267 of 2019
Date of Decision:10.09.2019**

Priyanka and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate for the respondents No.4, 6 and 7.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed the instant writ petition seeking a mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7.

In para 6 of the writ petition, it was categorically averred that this is the first marriage between the petitioners. It was further averred that the matrimonial alliance entered into between the petitioners is not acceptable to the elders and on account of which they fear for their life. The writ petition was supported by an affidavit of petitioner No.1 namely Priyanka.

During the course of hearing today, Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondents No.4, 6 and 7. He apprises the Court that petitioner No.1 was already married to one Rajinder Kumar, in the year 2013 and out of wedlock three children have been born. He further states that respondent No.5 namely Kamlesh wife of

Sh.Raj Kumar, who is none-other than the mother of petitioner No.1 had already expired on 20.11.2008.

Petitioner No.1 is present in Court. She is identified by her Counsel and as also counsel representing respondents No.4, 6 and 7.

On a specific query having been put to petitioner No.1 she states that she is 25 years of age and is educated i.e. matric pass. She has conceded in Court that she was previously married to Rajinder Kumar and is a mother of three children. She further admits that she had deliberately concealed such facts from her counsel at the stage of drafting of the instant petition.

Petitioners have approached this Court with unclean hands. A deliberate attempt had been made by the petitioners and particularly by petitioner No.1 to hoodwink this Court so as to obtain a protection order.

The petitioners by their conduct are dis-entitled from any relief from this Court.

Writ petition accordingly is dismissed.

It would be open for private respondents No.4,6 and 7 as also husband of petitioner No.1 namely Priyanka to take out appropriate proceedings against the petitioners in the light of the observations made by this Court in the instant order.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

September 10, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No