

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

235)

Crl. Misc. No.M-32940 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.11.2019

Jai Bhagwan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)**

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

As per the custody certificate filed in court today by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has been in custody for one year and one day now, with another FIR registered against him for the commission of a similar offence (under the provisions of Section 20 of the NDPS Act, 1985), the recovery of the contraband stated to have been recovered from the petitioner in the present case being 1½ kilogram of *charas*, the commercial quantity being more than 1.00 k.g. Only 2 out of 10 prosecution witnesses having been examined so far, therefore looking at the period of custody and the stage of trial, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon him furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

06.11.2019  
vcgarg

**(Amol Rattan Singh)**  
**Judge**

**Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes**  
**Whether reportable: No**