

119.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-638-2019

Date of decision: 09.09.2019

IQBAL SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

AMARJIT KAUR

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Dr. Payel Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition impugning the order dated 29.05.2019 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Hoshiarpur, whereby his application filed under Section 44 of the Indian Evidence Act, challenging the order dated 30.10.2018 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, was dismissed.

Vide order dated 30.10.2018, the learned Magistrate allowed the application of the respondent-wife filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and directed the petitioner to pay Rs.4,000/- per month, from the date of application, as interim maintenance, as well as litigation expenses of Rs.5,000/-.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of present petition, however, submits that as the wife is independently earning and for this reason, she intends to delay the proceedings, the trial Court may be directed to expedite the proceedings.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, considering the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner, the trial Court is directed to make an endeavour to expedite trial in the case.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

09.09.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No