

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CWP No.22711 of 2018
Date of decision: 01.05.2019**

Amar Nath

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. AG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.(ORAL)

This writ petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of directions to the respondents to decide the application dated 20.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) for correction of Revenue record in a time bound manner in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda to consider and decide the application dated 20.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Learned State counsel is not averse to the suggestion put forth by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda to consider and

decide application dated 20.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

May 01, 2019

Poonam Sharma

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No