

Sr. No.232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22620 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.08.2019

Hirdaipal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. H.S.Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Impugned herein is an order dated 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-18) vide which the petitioner was not granted extension for second year after having been given benefit of extension of first year on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

On a query posed by the Court, learned counsel fairly concedes that the relief of seeking for extension in second year is rendered infructuous during pendency of the writ petition owing to efflux of time, the petitioner has already attained the age of 60 years.

In the premise, writ petition is rendered infructuous and is, accordingly, dismissed.

As regards the relief of the petitioner to seek monetary

compensation for not extending her the benefit of extension in service for second year, same is left open to be sought by filing appropriate proceedings, as may be advised.

27.08.2019

vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No