

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114+260

CRM-25202-2019 IN/AND

CRM-M-33131-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 19.09.2019

SANDEEP @ BHEEM

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-25202-2019

Application is allowed and copy of the FIR annexed as
Annexure P-1 is taken on record.

MAIN CASE

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.195 dated
27.11.2018, under Sections 394 and 395 IPC (Sections 395 and 201 IPC
added later on), registered at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
allegations against the petitioner in the FIR are that he along with co-
accused had snatched the purse of the complainant containing
Rs.49,820/-. Recovery of Rs. 9820/- is alleged to have been effected
from the petitioner. He is in custody for over 9 months since his arrest
on 01.12.2018. He is 22 years of age and is not involved in any other
case. He further contends that after his arrest in this case, he was implicated

in another case although he is not named in that FIR. He also states that the similarly situated co-accused namely Rahul @ Monu and Pritam @ Ritu have been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-30267-2019 on 24.07.2019 and CRM-M-13262-2019 on 30.05.2019 respectively.

Learned State counsel states that 5 out of 14 prosecution witnesses have been examined and petitioner is involved in another case. However, he is not in a position to controvert the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is implicated in that case after his arrest in the instant case.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 9 months, the co-accused having been granted bail and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

19.09.2019*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No