

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24071 of 2017
Date of Decision:18.10.2019**

M/s Combined Petro Chem

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. G.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Tajinder Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

There is an arbitration clause. The dispute is regarding price variation in the contract between the parties. The writ petition has been filed directly claiming money due as a result of the condition regarding Price Variation Clause (PVC) in the contract. This is a disputed area which can be best resolved in arbitration. Consequently, the petitioner is relegated to the alternative remedy of arbitration.

Disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

18.10.2019
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No