

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107-2**CWP No.24057 of 2017 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 14.05.2019****AJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS.****...PETITIONERS****V/S****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Challenge in the writ petition is to result (Annexure P-6) dated 09.10.2017 on the ground that the same was prepared without resorting to the process of normalization, despite candidates having been examined on the basis of different question papers and 42% of the selected candidates being from one lot, which appeared in the test conducted on 04.12.2016.

[2] Learned counsel contends that as 42% of the selected candidates were from one lot, who appeared in the test conducted on 04.12.2016, it was apparent that said selected candidates attempted a relatively easier question paper, therefore, in the circumstances, it was essential for the process of normalization to have been resorted to.

[3] Per contra, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, contends that in order to allay the apprehension of the petitioners, all question papers were referred to an independent body, i.e. the Central Staff Selection

04.12.2016 was relatively easier, in comparison to the papers conducted on other dates and after evaluation, report was received from the Central Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi, that the standard of all the question papers was similar.

[4] Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, contends that in the circumstances, the plea of the petitioners for normalization of the result of the written test is without any basis, besides, selected candidates were issued appointment letters and joined way back in April, 2018, and there was no challenge to the selection and appointment of the successful candidates.

[5] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

[6] Sole argument of the petitioners that since 42% of the total selected candidates were from one lot who had taken the test on 04.12.2016, therefore it was apparent that the test conducted on 04.12.2016 was relatively easier in comparison to the test conducted on other dates, consequently, the process of normalization ought to have been resorted to stands negated by the report of the Central Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi as per which all the question papers on evaluation were found to be of similar standard. Besides selected candidates were issued appointment letters and joined in April, 2018 onwards without any challenge having been made to their selection and appointment or for that matter impleading them as party by name. In view of the report of the Central Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi the grievance of the petitioners for normalization of the written result is devoid of merit. Likewise in the absence of challenge to the selection and appointment of successful candidates by impleading them as respondents, the writ petition is

not maintainable. Accordingly, finding no merit in the writ petition, the same is dismissed.

[7] Since the main case has been dismissed, therefore, all pending CMs if any, also stand *disposed of accordingly*.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

May 14, 2019
‘Rajneesh’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No