

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**102-13****CWP No.5807 of 2013****Date of Decision : 29.05.2019****MANESH KUMARI****....PETITIONER****V/S****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mrs. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

-----

**B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)**

[1] Civil writ petition is for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the action of respondent No.2 in not considering the petitioner despite being covered under the policy decision of the Government granting exemption from passing HTET/STET to those candidates, who had worked for a minimum period of 04 years till 11.04.2012 in Privately Managed Government Aided Schools/Recognized Schools/Government Schools etc.

[2] None is present on behalf of the petitioner. For that matter, none was present on behalf of the petitioner on the preceding date, as well as on a number of earlier dates.

[3] Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, states that the writ petition is misconceived since as per the record of the Commission available, the petitioner did not participate in the interview after clearing the written examination.

[4]            Since none is present on behalf of the petitioner, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the writ petition.

[5]            *Dismissed in default.*

**(B.S. WALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 29, 2019**  
*‘Rajneesh’*

Whether speaking/reasoned        : Yes/No  
Whether reportable                    : Yes/No