

213/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4775 of 2014
Date of Decision: 17.07.2019
.....Petitioners

Baljit Singh and others

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for respondent no.3.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Bajaj, Advocate for respondent no.4.

ARUN MONGA, J (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of impugned terms and conditions of contract dated 16.11.2011 (Annexure P-4) and also for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to replace the petitioners with another set of employees in view of ratio of judgment rendered in CWP No.7428 of 2010, decided on 27.04.2010 (Annexure P-12).

Learned counsel for respondent no.4 submits that services of the petitioners were implied only for a specific period of contract during which local bus service was introduced on a particular route in Ludhiana. On completion of the contract period, the services of the petitioners were dispensed with and thereafter plying of the buses on the said earlier local route has also been stopped by respondent no.4.

In the premise, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Court in case they are still aggrieved qua the submissions of the learned counsel for respondent no.4 noted above.

17.07.2019
mamta

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No