

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(119)

CWP-21159-2019

Date of Decision: August 06, 2019

Tirath Singh Phougat

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim which is being made by the petitioner is that petitioner was entitled for the grant of Ist ACP on completion of five years of service under the Assured Career Progression Rules, 2008. Petitioner retired approximately 10 years ago and the present writ petition has been filed in the year 2019 seeking the benefit of ACP. No explanation whatsoever has been given as to why the present writ petition has been filed after a period of 10 years.

From the pleadings, it transpires that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) to the respondents and the interest of justice will be served, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the

representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

As the present writ petition has been filed after a delay of 10 years, in case the petitioner is found entitled for the claim as being prayed by the petitioner in the representation, he will not be entitled for any arrears of the said relief till the filing of the representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-4).

August 06, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No