

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

202)

CRM-M-33414 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.11.2019

Raj Kumar Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Aditya Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Though a written request has been made on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner, today Mr. Poswal, learned State counsel refers to the reply filed to the petition by way of an affidavit of Sh. Gorakh Pal, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, in para no.1 of which, in the reply on merits, it is stated that during the course of investigation the petitioner has been found to be innocent by the police.

That being so, this petition, by which the petitioner seeks concession of 'anticipatory' bail, has actually been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, it is made clear that if the police 'revise their opinion' as has been stated in the affidavit (on the petitioners' innocence), they would not arrest the petitioner without giving 10 days clear notice to him, with the signatures of the petitioner to be obtained on such notice issued.

**16.11.2019**  
vcgarg/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes**  
**Whether reportable: No**