

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27165- 2016

Date of decision : 14.03.2019

Rajita Gupta and ors.

..Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present:- Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Maloo Chahal,DAG, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for the post of Science Mistress as well as to appoint them.

In the written statement filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, it has been averred that the name of the petitioners were considered vide Annexure R-1.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed as Science Master/Mistress. However, the notional pay fixation and the seniority have not been granted to them.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Director Public Instructions (SE) Punjab Building of Punjab School Education Board, Phase VIII, SAS Nagar, Mohali to consider the case of the petitioners for notional pay fixation and the seniority as per merit.

CWP-27165- 2016

-2-

The needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.03.2019
dinesh

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No