

Sr. No. 233
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23562 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.04.2019

Vishali Dua

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-Bank.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that at the time of filing of the writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners was that they were not allowed to appear in the preliminary examination to be conducted for the post of 'Probationary Officer' in the respondent-Bank. He submits that during pendency of the writ petition, under the orders of this Court, the petitioners were allowed to take the said examination and pursuant thereto they did not qualify for further process qua the recruitment of 'Probationary Officer'.

He submits that in view thereof, writ petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous in view of the submissions of learned counsel for the respondent-Bank as noted above.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

01.04.2019

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No