

GENERAL TRANSPORT COMPANY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE AUTHORITY AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A. K. Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondent No.4.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner, *inter alia*, with the prayer to decide the application under 214 (2) of the Motor Vehicle Act.

Today, reply has been filed in Court. Alongwith the reply an order Annexure R-1 has been appended. Vide order dated 17.09.2019 (Annexure R-1), appeal under Section 89 of the Motor Vehicles Act has been decided. In short reply, it has been further submitted that the writ petition has become infructuous as the substantive relief has been granted to the petitioner.

Writ petition disposed of as having become infructuous.

11.12. 2019

Ali

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No