

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

C.W.P No. 23202 of 2017

Date of decision: 22.01.2019

Shyam Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. S.K.S. Bedi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents points out that the legal notice served by the petitioner has since been decided, therefore, he can take recourse to his remedies if aggrieved of the decision.

We agree with the stand of the respondents. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition in case he is not satisfied with the decision taken by the respondents.

Disposed of with liberty aforesaid granted.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

January 22, 2018
tripti

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No