

(253) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26777 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2019

Dr. Hemant More

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Ms. Rajni, Advocate for Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate contends that in view of the petitioner having been relieved on expiry of bond period, the instant writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[2] In view of the statement of learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

[3] Since, the main case has been decided, all pending C.Ms. stand disposed of.

22.04.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge