

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-21015-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.10.2019

Sakshi Sharma @ Sana Khatoon & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Instant writ petition seeks issuance of a Writ of Mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps for protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents no.4 and 5.

As per pleadings on record, petitioners got married on 29.7.2019 against the wishes of their parents and close relatives and it is on account of such circumstances that they fear for their life.

Order sheet would reveal that on four previous dates of hearing i.e. 9.9.2019, 8.8.2019, 6.8.2019 and 1.8.2019 neither counsel appeared nor the petitioners had appeared in person inspite of their names having been called out.

Since an advance copy of the petition had already been served upon the State, learned State counsel has filed in Court today a reply by way of affidavit dated 13.10.2019 of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sadar, Gurugram. Same is taken on record and a copy has been furnished to counsel opposite.

As per contents of reply filed in Court today, the Investigating Officer of Police Station, Sadar Gurugram had been deputed to visit the house of the petitioners as per address furnished in the Memo of Parties in the writ petition. It has been found that the petitioners are not residing at the address so furnished.

Even the representation dated 29.7.2019 (Annexure P-5) has not been found to be received either in the office of the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram or Police Station, Sadar Gurugram.

Clearly the petitioners have furnished false information/particulars in the writ petition and have not approached this Court with clean hands. In terms of their conduct they have disintitiled themselves of any relief from this Court.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.10.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No